



ANALYTICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	Page
STATEMENT:	
General	1-4
Facts especially relied upon.....	4
ARGUMENT:	
Outline, main propositions stated.....	5
Brief for petitioner discussed.....	6
First. "Section 29 of the act of June 13, 1898, being repealed as of July 1 1902, by the act of April 12, 1902, did not grant to the United States any right to any tax on these legacies".....	7-23
A. "The universal test of the right of the Government to have any tax assessed under the legacy tax provisions of the act of June 13, 1898, and amendments was, in the words used by the late Mr. Justice Lurton, speaking for the majority of this court in <i>Hertz vs. Woodman</i> , 218 U. S., 205, 219, that the 'right of succession' should become, between June 13, 1898, and July 1, 1902, the dates of enactment and repeal, respectively, 'an absolute right of immediate possession or enjoyment'".....	7-16
Other tests proposed and rejected.....	7-10
Tax did not accrue at death.....	7-10
<i>Hertz vs. Woodman</i> , 205 U. S., 218, distinguished	8-10
Tax did not accrue one year after death.....	10
Government's right accrued when right to immediate possession or enjoyment accrued... .	10-16
This is the law in Great Britain.....	13-16, 22
B. "The ordinary rule is that there can be no 'absolute right of immediate possession or enjoyment' of any legacy or distributive share until, at least, the close of the period during which claims against the estate may be proved, and this rule prevails in Pennsylvania".....	16-22
Statutes of Pennsylvania.....	17-19
Decisions of Pennsylvania courts.....	19-20
Decisions of this court.....	19-22
Necessary incidents of "passing".....	21-22
Effect of inability to determine rate.....	21-22
Effect of disclaimer.....	21-22

	Page
C. "The annuitants in this case had no 'absolute right of immediate possession or enjoyment' prior to July 1, 1902, as they could make no enforceable demand for anything prior to that date".....	22-23
Special features of this case.....	22-23
Second. "No tax under the act of June 13, 1898, could be imposed, or any individual liability therefor arise, except as the consequence of a lawful assessment, and no assessment made after July 1, 1902, could be lawful".....	23-34
The "saving clause".....	23-24
R. S., 13.....	23-24
Assessment necessary.....	24-34
Legislative assessment, when permissible.....	27-31
"Clear value" in this case not to be established without quasi-judicial assessment.....	31-33
Treasury Department recognized this.....	33
Statutes required it.....	33
Third. "The assessment and collection in this case were prohibited by section 3 of the act of June 27, 1902, 32 Stat., 406".....	34-38
Broad definitions necessary.....	35-36
<i>Jones vs. United States</i> (now awaiting decision by this court)	36-38
Fourth. "By adopting the construction now contended for by the petitioner, the Treasury Department contrived to collect a greater amount on account of legacy taxes during the year after the repeal than during any other period of equal length. Congress cannot have intended this result".....	38-47
More collected year after repeal than any other year.	38-39
Strange efficacy claimed for "saving clause".....	39
Commissioner of Internal Revenue changed ruling after repeal.....	40-41
Intention of Congress.....	41-47
Report of Committee on Ways and Means.....	41-47
Tax on tea temporarily continued.....	45-46
Fifth. "The judgment below should be affirmed in accordance with the rule <i>stare decisis</i> ".....	47-50
This argument addressed to the discretion of the court.	47
Only previous annuity case finally decided against the Government.....	47-48
Other cases on all fours with this finally decided against the Government.....	48-50
Conclusion	51

CONTENTS.

iii

LIST OF CITATIONS.

	Page
American & English Encyclopedia of Law (2d Edition).....	26, 28
Aspden <i>vs.</i> Nixon, 45 <i>U. S.</i> , 467.....	7, 20
Attorney General <i>vs.</i> Lady L. Manners, 1 <i>Price</i> , 411.....	15
Attorney General <i>vs.</i> Wood, 2 <i>You. and Jer.</i> , 290.....	15
Bell's Gap Railroad <i>vs.</i> Pennsylvania, 134 <i>U. S.</i> , 232.....	29
Borer <i>vs.</i> Chapman, 119 <i>U. S.</i> , 587.....	20
Brief for Petitioner.....	6, 13, 23
Brown <i>vs.</i> Fletcher (decided by U. S. Supreme Court on January 5, 1915).....	19
Buttfield <i>vs.</i> Stranahan, 192 <i>U. S.</i> , 470.....	41
Cohen <i>vs.</i> Brewster, 203 <i>U. S.</i> , 543.....	13
California <i>vs.</i> Central Pacific, 127 <i>U. S.</i> , 1.....	27
Carpenter <i>vs.</i> Pennsylvania, 17 <i>Hou.</i> , 156.....	20
Central of Georgia <i>vs.</i> Wright, 207 <i>U. S.</i> , 127.....	29
Clapp <i>vs.</i> Mason, 94 <i>U. S.</i> , 589.....	7, 12
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.....	16, 38, 40, 46
Committee on Ways and Means, Report of.....	39, 41,
	42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47
Commonwealth <i>vs.</i> Lehigh Valley Railroad, 104 <i>Pa.</i> , 89.....	26
Cooley on Taxation.....	25
Custer County <i>vs.</i> Anderson, 68 <i>Fed.</i> , 341.....	33
Cyclopedia of Law and Procedure.....	26
Delaware, Lackawanna & Western <i>vs.</i> Pennsylvania, 198 <i>U. S.</i> , 341.....	27
Delaware, The, 161 <i>U. S.</i> , 459.....	41
Dissert <i>vs.</i> McClain, 147 <i>Fed.</i> , 114.....	47, 48, 50
Dollar Savings Bank <i>vs.</i> U. S., 86 <i>U. S.</i> , 227.....	30
Eidman <i>vs.</i> Lewisohn, 177 <i>Fed.</i> , 1002; 218 <i>U. S.</i> , 678.....	8, 49
Eidman <i>vs.</i> Martinez, 184 <i>U. S.</i> , 578.....	41
Eidman <i>vs.</i> Shepard, 218 <i>U. S.</i> , 678.....	49
Eidman <i>vs.</i> Tilghman, 203 <i>U. S.</i> , 580.....	8, 50
Gill <i>vs.</i> Austin, 157 <i>Fed.</i> , 234; 218 <i>U. S.</i> , 677.....	49
Gill <i>vs.</i> Parish, 168 <i>Fed.</i> , 1020; 218 <i>U. S.</i> , 677.....	49
Green <i>vs.</i> Croft, 2 <i>H. Bl.</i> , 30.....	14
Griffith <i>vs.</i> Frazier, 8 <i>Cranch</i> , 9.....	20
Hagan <i>vs.</i> Walker, 14 <i>Hou.</i> , 29.....	20
Hagar <i>vs.</i> Reclamation District, 111 <i>U. S.</i> , 701.....	28
Hanson's Death Duties.....	22
Hertz <i>vs.</i> Woodman, 218 <i>U. S.</i> , 205.....	6, 7,
	8, 9, 10, 12, 23, 24, 38, 39, 47, 50
Hill <i>vs.</i> Atkinson, 2 <i>Mer.</i> , 53.....	14, 15
Hill <i>vs.</i> Tucker, 54 <i>U. S.</i> , 458.....	20
Hilas, Matter of, 2 <i>Irish Jurist</i> , 36-7.....	14

	Page
Hodge <i>vs.</i> Muscatine County, 196 U. S., 276.....	29
Homer & Roberts <i>vs.</i> Hasbrouck, 5 W. R., 169.....	19
House of Representatives Report No. 320, Fifty-seventh Congress, First Session.....	39, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47
Jones, Administrator, <i>vs.</i> U. S. (decided by the Court of Claims on March 23, 1914).....	36
Jones' Appeal, 99 Pa., 124.....	19
Kane <i>vs.</i> Paul, 14 Pet., 33.....	20
Kendall <i>vs.</i> Creighton, 64 U. S., 90.....	20
King <i>vs.</i> U. S., 99 U. S., 229.....	30
Kinney <i>vs.</i> Conant, 166 Fed., 720; 218 U. S., 677.....	49
Knowlton <i>vs.</i> Moore, 178 U. S., 41.....	13, 21
Londoner <i>vs.</i> Denver, 210 U. S., 373.....	27
Long's Estate, 228 Pa., 594.....	13
<i>J</i> McCoach <i>vs.</i> Bamberger, 161 Fed., 90; 218 U. S., 678.....	49
McCoach <i>vs.</i> Philadelphia Trust, Safe Deposit and Insurance Company, 205 U. S., 539.....	8, 50
McGehee, L. P., "Due Process of Law under the Federal Constitution"	28
McMillen <i>vs.</i> Anderson, 95 U. S., 37.....	29
Mackey <i>vs.</i> Coxe, 59 U. S., 100.....	20
Market <i>vs.</i> Hoffman, 101 U. S., 112.....	36
Mason <i>vs.</i> Sargent, 104 U. S., 689.....	8, 12
May <i>vs.</i> Traphagen, 139 N. Y., 478.....	33
<i>A</i> Montclair <i>vs.</i> Ramsdell, 107 U. S., 147.....	36
Mulligan's Estate, 157 Pa., 98.....	19
Norris <i>vs.</i> McCoach, 205 U. S., 539.....	50
Orr <i>vs.</i> Gilman, 183 U. S., 278.....	20
Pennsylvania, Statutes of.....	17, 18
People <i>vs.</i> Weaver, 100 U. S., 539.....	25
Powder River Cattle Company <i>vs.</i> Commissioners, 45 Fed., 323.....	27
Rastaetter's Estate, 15 Pa. Sup., 549.....	19
Rice <i>vs.</i> Railroad Company, 66 U. S., 358.....	36
Robins Estate, 180 Pa., 630.....	19
Sanders <i>vs.</i> Rumsey, 169 Fed., 1022; 218 U. S., 678.....	49
Simpson's Appeal, 109 Pa., 383.....	19
Stacy <i>vs.</i> Thrasher, 47 U. S., 44.....	20
State <i>vs.</i> Clement National Bank, 84 Vt., 167.....	28
State <i>vs.</i> South Penn. Oil Co. (W. Va.), 28 S. E., 688.....	27
Statistical Abstract of the United States.....	42
Stephens <i>vs.</i> Cherokee Nation, 174 U. S., 445.....	36
Sturges <i>vs.</i> U. S., 117 U. S., 363.....	8, 12, 13, 23
Supervisors <i>vs.</i> Stanley, 105 U. S., 305.....	27

CONTENTS.

v

	Page
Treasury Decisions (see also Commissioner of Internal Revenue)	16, 40
Turpin <i>vs.</i> Lemon, 187 U. S., 51.....	29
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Erie Railroad, 107 U. S., 1.....	30
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Ferrary, 93 U. S., 625.....	30
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Fidelity Trust Company, 222 U. S., 158.....	7, 12, 23
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Gooding, 12 Wheat., 460.....	36
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Kelly's Administrators, 28 Fed., 845.....	12
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Marion Trust Company, 203 U. S., 594.....	50
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Parish, 214 U. S., 124.....	36
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Philadelphia & Reading, 123 U. S., 113.....	30
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Rouss, 218 U. S., 678.....	49
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Stephenson, 212 U. S., 572.....	49, 50
U. S. <i>vs.</i> Union Pacific, 168 U. S., 505.....	9
Van Brocklin <i>vs.</i> Anderson, 117 U. S., 151.....	27
Vanderbilt <i>vs.</i> Eidman, 196 U. S., 480.....	6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 25, 41
Wall <i>vs.</i> Bissell, 125 U. S., 382.....	21
Western Union <i>vs.</i> Howe, 180 Fed., 44.....	33
Whiteside <i>vs.</i> Whiteside, 20 Pa., 473.....	24
Wright <i>vs.</i> Blakeslee, 101 U. S., 174.....	7, 12, 13